arXiv:math/0603090v1 [math.AG] 3 Mar 2006

ON THE PICARD NUMBER OF ALMOST FANO THREEFOLDS WITH PSEUDO-INDEX > 1

CINZIA CASAGRANDE, PRISKA JAHNKE, AND IVO RADLOFF

1. Introduction

A complex projective variety X is called *almost Fano* if it is normal, Gorenstein, and its anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ is big and nef. We assume moreover that X has at most canonical singularities.

When $-K_X$ is ample, X is a Fano variety. In general, by the base point free theorem, some multiple $|-mK_X|$ is base point free, defining a birational morphism

$$\psi\colon X \longrightarrow Y$$

to some Gorenstein Fano variety, again with at most canonical singularities. Curves contracted by ψ are exactly curves of anticanonical degree zero. We say that Y is an *anticanonical model* of X. Both X and Y are rationally connected ([KMM92], [Z04]).

An important numerical invariant of X is its *Picard number* $\rho(X)$; one has $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, due to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem together with the exponential sequence. Hence $\rho(X)$ coincides with the second Betti number $b_2(X)$.

In the study of Fano varieties, a relevant role is played by the index, which is the divisibility of the anticanonical bundle in the Picard group. Similarly, if X is an almost Fano variety, one can define its *index* r_X as the divisibility of $-K_X$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, and its *pseudo-index* ι_X as

$$\iota_X := \min\{d > 0 \mid \exists \text{ rational curve } C : -K_X.C = d\}.$$

Notice that $r_X \mid \iota_X$. As in the Fano case, one expects that almost Fano varieties with large index or pseudo-index are simpler.

In dimension three, smooth Fano threefolds are classified. Of course the class of almost Fano threefolds is much larger. In [JPR05] smooth almost Fano threefolds with Picard number two and such that ψ is divisorial are classified.

If Y is a Gorenstein Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities, by results of Kawamata and Reid ([K88], [R83]) there exists a *partial crepant resolution*

$$\psi\colon X \longrightarrow Y,$$

where X is an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal $\mathbb{Q}$–factorial singularities, and Y is an anticanonical model of X. Hence singular Fano threefolds and almost Fano threefolds (with mild singularities) are closely related.

Date: 1st March 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J30, 14J45, 14E30, 14M25.

The two last named authors gratefully acknowledge support by the Schwerpunkt program *Globale Methoden in der komplexen Geometrie* of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

In the present paper we study almost Fano threefolds X with at most canonical singularities and with $\iota_X > 1$, namely we assume that X contains no rational curves of anticanonical degree one.

We first give a birational description of such X in Proposition 2.10, under the additional assumption that X is terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. This description, together with some results by Shin and by Chen and Tseng, allows us to characterize almost Fano threefolds with at most canonical singularities and $\iota_X \geq 3$: they are just $\mathbb{P}_3$, quadrics, and resolutions of quadrics (see Proposition 3.3).

Bounding the Picard number. It is well known that there exist only finitely many deformation families of smooth Fano varieties for fixed dimension n, and the same holds true in the canonical case at least in dimension three ([B01], [MK02] and [KMMT00] for the almost Fano case). We may therefore ask for (effective) bounds of their numerical invariants.

After the classification, we know that a smooth Fano threefold Y has $\rho(Y) \leq 10$. The only case with Picard number 10 is the product $S \times \mathbb{P}_1$, S a Del Pezzo surface of degree 1. Bounds for the Picard number of singular Fano threefolds, or almost Fano threefolds, are still unknown. In higher dimensions, the maximal Picard number of a smooth Fano variety is also unknown (even in dimension 4).

In our situation we obtain:

1.1. Theorem. *Let X be an almost Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities and $\iota_X > 1$.*

Then $\rho(X) \leq 10$, and equality holds if and only if X is smooth and there exists a finite sequence of flops $X \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{p_1,\dots,p_8}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(3)))$.

If X is Fano, then $\rho(X) \leq 3$, and equality holds if and only if $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$.

In the Fano case, there is a conjectural relation between the Picard number and the pseudo-index:

1.2. Generalized Mukai Conjecture. *Let Y be a smooth Fano variety. Then*

$$\rho(Y)(\iota_Y - 1) \leq \dim(Y), \quad \textit{with equality if and only if} \quad Y \simeq (\mathbb{P}_{\iota_Y - 1})^{\rho(Y)}.$$

This was conjectured by Mukai [M88] in a weaker form, and then studied in [W90], [BCDD03], [ACO04], and [C06]. Up to now, the conjecture has been proven for smooth Fano varieties of dimension at most 5, and for Gorenstein and $\mathbb{Q}$–factorial toric Fano varieties of arbitrary dimension. Observe that the conjecture is trivial if $\iota_Y = 1$.

Applying our previous results, we obtain:

1.3. Theorem. *Let Y be a Gorenstein Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities. Then the generalized Mukai conjecture holds, i.e.,*

$$\rho(Y)(\iota_Y - 1) \leq \dim(Y) \quad \textit{with equality if and only if} \quad Y \simeq (\mathbb{P}_{\iota_Y - 1})^{\rho(Y)}.$$

The toric case. In any dimension n, Gorenstein toric Fano varieties and almost Fano toric varieties are in a finite number, and always have at most canonical singularities.

More precisely, Gorenstein toric Fano varieties of dimension n are in bijection with a special class of polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^n$, called *reflexive* polytopes, see [B94]. Reflexive polytopes have integral vertices (i.e. in $\mathbb{Z}^n$), and the origin is their unique interior integral point.

If P is a reflexive polytope, we denote by Y_P the corresponding Gorenstein Fano variety (the fan of Y_P is given by the cones over the faces of P). Then we have:

1.) the rank of the divisor class group of Y_P is equal to the number of vertices of P minus n;
2.) the maximal Picard number of toric almost Fano varieties whose anticanonical model is Y_P is $|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| - n - 1$.

Reflexive polytopes have been classified up to dimension four by Kreuzer and Skarke [KS04], by means of a computer program. They are 4319 in dimension three, and almost half a billion in dimension four. The maximal number of integral points are respectively 39 and 680. By 2.) above, this implies that if X is an almost Fano toric variety of dimension n, then

$$\rho(X) \leq \begin{cases} 35 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 675 & \text{if } n = 4. \end{cases}$$

In arbitrary dimension, the maximal number of integral points of a reflexive polytope is not known, and sharp bounds on the Picard number are known only under some additional condition on the singularities.

More precisely, if Y is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial Gorenstein toric Fano variety, it is shown in [C06] that $\rho(Y) \leq 2n$ if n is even, $\rho(Y) \leq 2n-1$ if n is odd.

As seen in 1.), in the Fano case these combinatorial techniques allow to compute the rank of the divisor class group, so in the non $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial case they never give a sharp bound on the Picard number. In particular, Theorem 1.3 is new also in the toric case, at least to our knowledge.

For the almost Fano case, it is shown in [N05], Corollary 6.3 that if X is a toric almost Fano of dimension n whose anticanonical model has at most terminal singularities, then $\rho(X) \leq 2^{n+1} - n - 2$, and that bound is sharp.

For toric varieties, the bound of Theorem 1.1 can be sharpened:

1.4. Proposition. *Let X be a toric almost Fano threefold with $\iota_X > 1$.*

Then $\rho(X) \leq 8$, and equality can only happen if X is smooth and there exists a finite sequence of flops $X \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{p_1,\dots,p_6}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(3)))$.

2. Almost Fano Threefolds with pseudoindex > 1

Let X be a Gorenstein almost Fano threefold. The highest power $(-K_X)^3 > 0$ is always even and called *anticanonical degree* of X. Assume that X has canonical singularities, and let

$$\psi \colon X \longrightarrow Y$$

be an anticanonical model of X. Since ψ is crepant, we have

$$(-K_X)^3 = (-K_Y)^3.$$

Moreover $r_Y = r_X$ and $\iota_Y = \iota_X$.

Since $-K_X = r_X L$, the intersection number of $-K_X$ with any rational curve is divisible by r_X, hence $r_X \mid \iota_X$, in particular

$$r_X \leq \iota_X.$$

As soon as a line exists in X, Fano index and pseudo-index coincide (by a *line* we denote a rational curve C, such that $L.C = 1$, cf. [K96]). So for example on a smooth Fano threefold X with $\rho(X) = 1$ we always have $\iota_X = r_X$, since the existence of lines is known. In general, both notions do not necessarily coincide (cf. Lemma 3.4).

Since K_X is not nef, there always exists an *elementary extremal contraction*

$$\phi\colon X \longrightarrow Z,$$

namely ϕ is surjective with connected fibers, Z is normal, $\rho(X) - \rho(Z) = 1$, and $-K_X$ is ϕ-ample. Such contractions are classified by Mori in the smooth case ([M82]), and Cutkosky for terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities ([C88]). We call an extremal contraction ϕ to be of *fiber type*, if $\dim Z < \dim X$ (including the case $\dim Z = 0$).

Let X be a Gorenstein almost Fano threefold, with at most terminal singularities. By a *smoothing* of X we mean a flat projective morphism

$$\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \Delta$$

onto the unit disc Δ, with $\mathcal{X}$ a reduced and irreducible complex space, such that $\mathcal{X}_0 \simeq X$, while $\mathcal{X}_t$ is a smooth almost Fano threefold for $t \neq 0$. By [N97] and [M01] a smoothing always exists when X is either $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial or Fano. In this last case, $\mathcal{X}_t$ is Fano too. The numerical invariants of X and $\mathcal{X}_t$ are related as follows.

2.1. Theorem [[JR06]]**.** *Let X be a Gorenstein almost Fano threefold with at most terminal singularities, and let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ be a smoothing of X. Then:*

$$(-K_{\mathcal{X}_t})^3 = (-K_X)^3, \quad \rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = \rho(X), \quad r_{\mathcal{X}_t} = r_X \leq \iota_X \leq \iota_{\mathcal{X}_t}.$$

Proof. It is shown in [JR06] that $\mathcal{X}$ is Gorenstein, and that there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t) \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ preserving the canonical class. This implies $(-K_{\mathcal{X}_t})^3 = (-K_X)^3$, $\rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = \rho(X)$, and $r_{\mathcal{X}_t} = r_X$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_t$ be a rational curve in the general $\mathcal{X}_t$, $t \neq 0$, such that $-K_{\mathcal{X}_t}.\mathcal{C}_t = \iota_{\mathcal{X}_t}$. Degenerate $\mathcal{C}_t$ and let $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset X$ be the limit curve. Then $-K_X.\mathcal{C}_0 = \iota_{\mathcal{X}_t}$ as well, but $\mathcal{C}_0$ might be reducible. This shows $\iota_X \leq \iota_{\mathcal{X}_t}$. □

We need the following result by Prokhorov:

2.2. Theorem [[P05]]**.** *Let Y be a Gorenstein Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities. Then $(-K_Y)^3 \leq 72$ and equality holds if and only if Y is one of the weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 3)$ or $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 4, 6)$.*

2.3. Remark.

1.) If Y has only terminal singularities, then $(-K_Y)^3 \leq 64$. This follows from the existence of a smoothing ([N97]) in this case.
2.) The same bound as in 2.2 holds for Gorenstein almost Fano threefolds with at most canonical singularities.
3.) A crepant resolution of $\mathbb{P}(1^3, 3)$ is the smooth almost Fano threefold $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(3))$, which has pseudo-index 2. The pseudo-index of $\mathbb{P}(1^2, 4, 6)$ is 1.

2.4. Example. Smooth almost Fano surfaces can easily be classified, they are

1.) $\mathbb{P}_2$ blown up in $r \leq 8$ points,
2.) $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$,
3.) the second Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_2$.

In particular, if S is minimal, then $S \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$, $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$. Note that there are conditions on the position of the points: for example we may allow three points on a line in $\mathbb{P}_2$, but not 4 to ensure that $-K_S$ remains nef.

We may of course blow up $\mathbb{F}_2$ in a point p not lying on the minimal section to obtain another almost Fano surface $S = \mathrm{Bl}_p(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Let $f \subset \mathbb{F}_2$ be the fiber containing p. Then the strict transform of f in S becomes a (-1)–curve. Contracting this curve to a point p', we obtain another Hirzebruch surface, namely $\mathbb{F}_1 \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_q(\mathbb{P}_2)$. Hence $S \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{p',q}(\mathbb{P}_2)$. This shows that the above list is indeed complete.

Before we come to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need some general results on possible elementary extremal contractions of an almost Fano threefold with pseudo-index $\iota_X > 1$, under some additional assumptions on the singularities of X (for similar results in the smooth case compare [DPS93]).

2.5. Lemma. *Let X be an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $\iota_X > 1$. Suppose that X admits an elementary extremal contraction*

$$\phi\colon X \longrightarrow S$$

onto a surface S. Then S is a smooth surface with $-K_S$ big and nef, and $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for some rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on S.

Proof. By classification, S is smooth and ϕ is a conic bundle (see [C88], Theorem 7). Since $\iota_X > 1$ by assumption, ϕ has no singular fibers. Then X is smooth and it remains to show $-K_S$ big and nef. By [DPS93], Proposition 3.1, $-K_S$ is nef and $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for some rank two vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on S. By [IP99], Proposition 7.1.8, we have

$$-4K_S = \phi_*(-K_X)^2 + \Delta,$$

where Δ is the discriminant of the conic bundle, hence $\Delta = 0$. By the Riemann–Roch theorem and Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing, $|-K_X|$ is non–empty and the sections cover X. Hence $-4K_S = \phi_* C$ for some complete intersection curve $C = H_1 \cap H_2$ with $H_i \in |-K_X|$ is effective and irreducible. Moreover, moving for example H_2 in X, we find $(\phi_* C)^2 > 0$. This implies $-K_S$ is also big as claimed. ☐

2.6. Lemma. *Let X be as in Lemma 2.5. Assume that the surface S is not minimal. Then there exists a flop diagram*

(2.7)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[rr, dashed, "\chi"] \arrow[dr] & & X^+ \arrow[dl] \\ & Y & \end{tikzcd}$$

over the anticanonical model Y of X, such that X^+ admits a birational elementary extremal contraction $\phi^+\colon X^+ \to Z^+$. Moreover, X^+ is again an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, and

$$\iota_{X^+} = \iota_X, \quad \rho(X^+) = \rho(X) \quad \textit{and} \quad (-K_{X^+})^3 = (-K_X)^3.$$

Proof. Since S is not minimal by assumption, there exists a (-1)–curve $C \subset S$, i.e.,

$$C \simeq \mathbb{P}_1, \quad C^2 = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad -K_S.C = 1.$$

Let $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for some rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on S and twist by a line bundle, such that

$$\mathcal{E}|_C = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(a), \quad \text{for some } a \geq 0.$$

Let $\tilde{S} = \phi^{-1}(C) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_C)$ and $\tilde{C} \to C$ the section in $\tilde{S}$ over C corresponding to the projection of $\mathcal{E}|_C \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \to 0$. Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ be the tautological line bundle on X as usual. Then

$$-K_X.\tilde{C} = \mathcal{O}(2).\tilde{C} - K_S.C - (\det \mathcal{E}).C = 1 - a \geq 0,$$

so $a = 0, 1$. By assumption $\iota_X > 1$, hence $a = 1$ and $\tilde{C}$ is an anticanonically trivial curve in X. Moreover,

$$\tilde{S} \simeq \mathbb{F}_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(1)),$$

where $-K_X|_{\tilde{S}} = \mathcal{O}(2)$ is 2 times the corresponding tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{F}_1$ and $\tilde{C}$ is the minimal section. We have $\mathcal{O}_X(\tilde{S})|_{\tilde{C}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(-1)$, since C is a (-1)–curve by definition, and $N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{S}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(-1)$, since $\tilde{C}$ is the minimal section of $\tilde{S}$. This implies the splitting of the normal bundle sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{S}} \longrightarrow N_{\tilde{C}/X} \longrightarrow N_{\tilde{S}/X}|_{\tilde{C}} \longrightarrow 0$$

and therefore the splitting type of $N_{\tilde{C}/X}$ is $(-1, -1)$. Blowing up the curve $\tilde{C}$ in X, the exceptional divisor will be $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$, and we may blow down in the other direction onto some variety X^+

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{Bl}_{\tilde{C}}(X) \arrow[dl, "\pi"'] \arrow[dr, "\pi^+"] & \\
X \arrow[rr, dashed, "\chi"] & & X^+
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the rational map χ is the flop (2.7), since $-K_X.\tilde{C} = 0$. In particular, X^+ is again an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities (cf. [KM98], §6.2).

It remains to show $\iota_{X^+} > 1$ and that X^+ now admits a birational elementary extremal contraction. First note that χ maps the surface $\tilde{S}$ onto some $S^+ \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$ in X^+ with normal bundle $N_{S^+/X^+} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)$. This means we may contract S^+ to a smooth point, which gives the map ϕ^+ in the lemma.

In order to see $\iota_{X^+} = \iota_X$ let $C^+ \subset X^+$ be any rational curve. Let $C_0 \subset X$ be the strict transform of C^+, i.e., $C_0 = \pi(C_0^+)$ for some section $C_0^+ \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{\tilde{C}}(X)$ over C^+. Then

$$-K_X.C_0 = \pi^*(-K_X).C_0^+ = (\pi^+)^*(-K_{X^+}).C_0^+ = -K_{X^+}.C^+.$$

□

2.8. Lemma. *Let X be an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $\iota_X > 1$. Suppose that X admits a birational elementary extremal contraction*

$$\phi\colon X \longrightarrow Z.$$

Then Z is an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, $\iota_Z > 1$, and ϕ is the blowup of a smooth point.

Proof. Since X is Gorenstein with terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, Cutkosky's classification applies ([C88]): ϕ is divisorial and either contracts the exceptional divisor E onto a local complete intersection curve contained in the smooth locus of Z, or to a point. The first case is impossible, since then a general fiber of E is a rational curve f with $-K_X.f = 1$.

If E is mapped to a point, then the pair $(E, E|_E)$ is one of $(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{O}(-1))$, $(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{O}(-2))$ or $(Q, \mathcal{O}(-1))$, where Q is either a smooth quadric or the quadric cone. The latter two cases are again impossible, since they provide curves of anticanonical degree 1 in X. Hence $(E, E|_E) = (\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{O}(-1))$ and in particular E is mapped to a smooth point of Z and Z is again Gorenstein with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities.

Let $C \subset Z$ be any rational curve and $\tilde{C} \to C$ a section in X. If C does not contain the point $p = \phi(E)$, then $-K_Z.C = -K_X.\tilde{C}$. If $p \in C$, then

$$-K_Z.C = -K_X.\tilde{C} + 2E.\tilde{C} \geq -K_X.\tilde{C} + 2, \tag{2.9}$$

since $\tilde{C} \not\subset E$. This shows $\iota_Z > 1$ and that $-K_Z$ is nef. Finally $(-K_Z)^3 = (-K_X)^3 + 8 > 0$, hence $-K_Z$ is also big. $\square$

2.10. Proposition. *Let X be an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $\iota_X > 1$. Then there exists a sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, dashed, "\xi"] & X_0 \arrow[r, "\phi_0"] & X_1 \arrow[r, "\phi_1"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\phi_{m-1}"] & X_m \arrow[r, "\phi"] & W \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.11}$$

where:

1.) *each X_i is an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $\iota_{X_i} > 1$;*
2.) *ξ is a finite sequence of flops and $\iota_X = \iota_{X_0}$;*
3.) *each ϕ_i is a blowup in a smooth point;*
4.) *ϕ is an elementary extremal contraction of fiber type;*
5.) *W is one of the following: a point, $\mathbb{P}_1$, $\mathbb{P}_2$, $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$, or $\mathbb{F}_2$;*
6.) *if W is $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$, then $m = 0$.*

2.12. Remark. When $\dim W = 2$, then X_m is a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle over W by Lemma 2.5, so X is smooth.

When $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$, then $X_m \to W$ is a del Pezzo fibration. If F is a general fiber, we have $-K_{X_m}|_F = -K_F$, so $\iota_F > 1$. This means that F is either $\mathbb{P}_2$ or $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$.

Proof of Proposition 2.10. Let X be an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $\iota_X > 1$. As seen previously, there exists an elementary extremal contraction

$$\phi\colon X \longrightarrow Z.$$

By [C88], we have the following possibilities:

1.) $\dim Z = 0$.
2.) $\dim Z = 1$, hence $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$.
3.) $\dim Z = 2$ and ϕ is a conic bundle. In this case ϕ is a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle over a smooth almost Fano surface Z by Lemma 2.5 and we are in one of the following two cases:
    (i) Z is minimal, hence Z is one of the surfaces $\mathbb{P}_2$, $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$, or $\mathbb{F}_2$ (cf. example 2.4).

(ii) Z is not minimal. In that case we apply Lemma 2.6 performing a flop $X \dashrightarrow X^+$, where X^+ is another almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, such that there exists an elementary extremal contraction $\phi^+ \colon X^+ \to Z^+$ with $\dim Z^+ = 3$. We have $\iota_X = \iota_{X^+}$, $\rho(X) = \rho(X^+)$ and $(-K_X)^3 = (-K_{X^+})^3$.

4.) $\dim Z = 3$. Then by Lemma 2.8, ϕ is the blowup of a smooth point, Z is again almost Fano with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $\iota_Z > 1$.

This means that we are done if either $\dim Z \leq 1$ or $\dim Z = 2$ and Z is minimal. As long as this is not the case, we proceed as follows: first blow down divisors to points as long as possible. If we then end up in case 1.), 2.) or 3.) (i), we stop. If we end up in case 3.) (ii), we perform a flop and start again blowing down. This process is finite, since on the one hand the anticanonical degree remains stable in case of a flop and increases by 8 if we blow down a divisor to a smooth point. On the other hand, $(-K_X)^3 \leq 72$ as seen in Theorem 2.2.

Hence we finally end up with the following picture:

(2.13)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X = X'_0 \arrow[r, dashed, "\phi'_0"] & X'_1 \arrow[r, dashed, "\phi'_1"] & \cdots \arrow[r, dashed, "\phi'_{n-1}"] & X'_n \arrow[r, "\phi"] & W \end{tikzcd}$$

where ϕ is an elementary extremal contraction of fiber type, W is as in 5.), and each ϕ'_i is either a flop or a blowup of a smooth point. By Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.6, each X'_i is again an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $\iota_{X'_i} > 1$.

Suppose that for some index $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-2\}$ we have

$$\begin{tikzcd} X'_i \arrow[r, "\phi'_i"] & X'_{i+1} \arrow[r, dashed, "\phi'_{i+1}"] & X'_{i+2} \end{tikzcd}$$

with ϕ'_i a blowup of a smooth point $p \in X'_{i+1}$, and ϕ'_{i+1} a flop. Since $-K_{X'_i}$ is nef, the point p can not lie on any anticanonically trivial curve, in particular it will not lie on the exceptional locus of the flop. So we can first blowup the image of p in X'_{i+2} and then perform the flop; in this way we get a new factorization of $\phi'_{i+1} \circ \phi'_i$ as

$$\begin{tikzcd} X'_i \arrow[r, dashed, "\phi''_i"] & X''_{i+1} \arrow[r, "\phi''_{i+1}"] & X'_{i+2} \end{tikzcd}$$

where by Lemma 2.6, X''_{i+1} is again an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $\iota_{X''_{i+1}} > 1$.

Iterating this procedure and renaming, we are reduced to a sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, dashed, "\xi"] & X_0 \arrow[r, "\phi_0"] & X_1 \arrow[r, "\phi_1"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\phi_{m-1}"] & X_m \arrow[r, "\phi"] & W \end{tikzcd}$$

where ξ is a sequence of flops, and each ϕ_i is a blowup of a smooth point $p_{i+1} \in X_{i+1}$.

Suppose that $m > 0$ and $W = \mathbb{F}_2$ or $W = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$. We want to see that these cases can be reduced to the case $W = \mathbb{P}_2$ by a sequence of flops.

Assume $W = \mathbb{F}_2$. We have $X_{m-1} = \mathrm{Bl}_p(X_m)$ for some point p. The strict transform of the fiber containing p of the $\mathbb{P}_1$–bundle $X_m \to W$ becomes anticanonically trivial in X_{m-1} with normal bundle of type $(-1, -1)$. Flopping that curve we obtain a $\mathbb{P}_1$–bundle X'_{m-1} over $\mathrm{Bl}_p(\mathbb{F}_2)$ (we call the image of p in W again p; this is the reversed construction of the flop over a (-1)–curve in Lemma 2.6).

But $\mathrm{Bl}_p(\mathbb{F}_2)$ now admits a second (-1)–curve, namely the strict transform of the fiber of $\mathbb{F}_2$ containing p. Blowing this curve down, we obtain $\mathbb{F}_1$ (compare Example 2.4). On the other hand, this second (-1)–curve gives rise to another flop of X'_{m-1}, we call the resulting threefold X''_{m-1}. Blowing down the exceptional divisor in X''_{m-1} provided by the flop $X'_{m-1} \dashrightarrow X''_{m-1}$ we obtain a $\mathbb{P}_1$–bundle X''_m over $\mathbb{F}_1$. Flopping over the minimal section of $\mathbb{F}_1$, which is now a (-1)–curve, we finally end up with a $\mathbb{P}_1$–bundle X''_{m+1} over $\mathbb{P}_2$. In a diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X''_{m-1} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Bl}"] \arrow[dl, dashed, leftrightarrow, "flop"'] & X''_m \arrow[r, dashed, "flop"] \arrow[d, dash] & X'''_m \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Bl}"] & X'''_{m+1} \arrow[dd] \\
X'_{m-1} \arrow[rr, dashed, leftrightarrow, "flop"] \arrow[ddd] & & X_{m-1} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Bl}"] \arrow[d] & X_m \arrow[dd] & \\
 & & \mathbb{F}_1 \arrow[rr] & & \mathbb{P}_2 \\
 & & & & \\
\mathrm{Bl}_p(\mathbb{F}_2) \arrow[uurr] \arrow[rrr] & & & \mathbb{F}_2 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus we are reduced to a new sequence as (2.13), ending with $\mathbb{P}_2$ instead of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Now we repeat the procedure of ordering the flops and the blowups, and get the statement. The same argument applies for $W = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1, almost Fano case. Let X be a Gorenstein almost Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities and $\iota_X > 1$. First of all, we reduce to the case where X has at most $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and terminal singularities. In fact, by [KM98], Theorems 6.23 and 6.25, there exist two birational morphisms

$$X'' \xrightarrow{g} X' \xrightarrow{f} X$$

such that f is crepant, X' has at most terminal singularities, g is an isomorphism in codimension 1, and X'' has at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities.

We have $K_{X'} = f^*(K_X)$ and $K_{X''} = g^*(K_{X'})$, so both X' and X'' are almost Fano and $\iota_{X''} = \iota_{X'} = \iota_X > 1$. Moreover $\rho(X) \leq \rho(X') \leq \rho(X'')$, and $\rho(X) = \rho(X'')$ if and only if $X \simeq X''$ is already terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

So we assume that X has at most $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and terminal singularities. Applying Proposition 2.10, we get a sequence as (2.11), so that

$$\rho(X) = \rho(X_0) = \rho(X_m) + m = \rho(W) + (m+1),$$
$$(-K_X)^3 = (-K_{X_0})^3 = (-K_{X_m})^3 - 8m.$$

Observe that $\rho(W) \leq 2$, so if $m = 0$ we get $\rho(X) \leq 3$ and we are done.

We now assume $m > 0$ and consider the possible cases for W separately:

1.) If W is a point, then X_m is a Fano threefold with terminal Gorenstein singularities, hence $(-K_{X_m})^3 \leq 64$ by Remark 2.3. Then

$$2 \leq (-K_X)^3 = (-K_{X_m})^3 - 8m \leq 64 - 8m,$$

hence $m \leq 7$. This gives $\rho(X) \leq \rho(W) + 8 = 8$.

2.) If $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$, then $X_m \to \mathbb{P}_1$ is a del Pezzo fibration. We claim that $(-K_{X_m})^3 \leq 64$. This implies $m \leq 7$ and therefore $\rho(X) \leq \rho(W) + 8 = 9$.

By [M01], there exists a smoothing $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ of X_m; the general fiber $\mathcal{X}_t$ is a smooth almost Fano with $(-K_{\mathcal{X}_t})^3 = (-K_{X_m})^3$ and $\rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = \rho(X_m) = 2$ (see Theorem 2.1).

Let ψ_t be the anticanonical map of $\mathcal{X}_t$. If ψ_t is divisorial, then $(-K_{X_m})^3 \leq 64$ by the classification in [JPR05]. If ψ_t is small, then the anticanonical model $\mathcal{Y}_t$ of $\mathcal{X}_t$ is a Fano threefold with at most terminal singularities. Hence $(-K_{X_m})^3 = (-K_{\mathcal{Y}_t})^3 \leq 64$ by Remark 2.3.

3.) If $W = \mathbb{P}_2$, then by construction X_m is a $\mathbb{P}_1$–bundle over W. Since $(-K_{X_m})^3 \leq 72$ by Theorem 2.2, we find $m \leq 8$. Then $\rho(X) \leq \rho(W) + 9 \leq 10$.

Assume now that $\rho(X) = 10$ is maximal for some Gorenstein almost Fano threefold X with at most canonical singularities and $\iota_X > 1$. Then X has only terminal $\mathbb{Q}$–factorial singularities. Consider again the sequence (2.11) for X. As seen above, it must be $W = \mathbb{P}_2$, $m = 8$ and $(-K_{X_m})^3 = 72$. Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3 yield $X_m = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(3))$, and the result follows. $\square$

2.14. Example. We want to construct almost Fano threefolds X with pseudo-index 2 and Picard number 10. Let for example S be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1, i.e., $S = \mathrm{Bl}_{p_1,\dots,p_8}(\mathbb{P}_2)$. Define

$$X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)).$$

Then $-K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(2)$ is two times the tautological bundle, hence $r_X = \iota_X = 2$ and $-K_X$ is nef. Moreover $(-K_X)^3 = 8$ shows $-K_X$ is also big. Since $\rho(S) = 9$, we have $\rho(X) = 10$ as claimed.

Following the proof of Theorem 1.1, X should be connected to a $\mathbb{P}_1$–bundle over $\mathbb{P}_2$ by a sequence of flops and blowups. This can be seen as follows: over each (-1)–curve in S lies an $\mathbb{F}_1$. Flopping the minimal section, yields another almost Fano threefold, where we now may contract the resulting $\mathbb{P}_2$ to a point. We finally arrive at

$$X = X_0 \dashrightarrow X_8 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(3)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_2 = Z.$$

Consider on the other hand

$$X' = \mathrm{Bl}_{p_1,\dots,p_8}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(3))),$$

the blowup of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(3))$ in 8 general points. Then X' is another almost Fano threefold with pseudo-index $\iota_{X'} = 2$ and maximal Picard number $\rho(X') = 10$. We may view X and X' as two different crepant resolutions of the same anticanonical model Y.

Note that this is the maximal number of points we may blow up in either S or the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(3))$: if we blow up one further point, the anticanonical degree decreases to zero, i.e., that threefold is not almost Fano anymore.

3. ALMOST FANO THREEFOLDS WITH HIGH INDEX

We recall two results about Fano threefolds with high index or pseudo-index by Shin and by Chen and Tseng.

3.1. Theorem [[S89], Theorem 3.9]. *Let Y be a Gorenstein Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities. Then:*

1.) *$r_Y \leq 4$, with equality if and only if $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}_3$;*

2.) *$r_Y = 3$ if and only if Y is a (possibly singular) quadric in $\mathbb{P}_4$.*

3.2. Theorem [[CT05], **Corollary 5.2]**. *Let Y be a Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities. Then $\iota_Y \leq 4$, with equality if and only if $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}_3$.*

We give an analogous result about the almost Fano case.

3.3. Proposition. *Let X be an almost Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities. Then:*

1.) *$\iota_X \leq 4$, with equality if and only if $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_3$;*

2.) *$\iota_X = 3$ if and only if X is one of the following: a (possibly singular) quadric, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(1)^{\oplus 2})$, or $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(2))$.*

Proof. Let Y be an anticanonical model of X, then $\iota_X = \iota_Y$. By Chen and Tseng's Theorem 3.2, we have $\iota_Y \leq 4$, with equality if and only if $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}_3$. In this case, it must also be $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_3$. This gives 1.).

Assume now that $\iota_X = 3$. Observe that since $r_X | \iota_X$, we have $r_X \in \{1, 3\}$.

We first show that if X has at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, then $r_X = 3$. By contradiction, assume $r_X = 1$.

There exists a smoothing $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ of X by [M01], and by Theorem 2.1 the general fiber $\mathcal{X}_t$ is a smooth almost Fano threefold with $r_{\mathcal{X}_t} = 1$, $\iota_{\mathcal{X}_t} \geq 3$, and $\rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = \rho(X)$.

If $\mathcal{X}_t$ is Fano, then $\mathcal{X}_t$ is $\mathbb{P}_3$ or a quadric by [M04] (or just by classification), which contradicts $r_{\mathcal{X}_t} = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{X}_t$ and X are not Fano.

Let's show that $\rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = 2$. Let $\phi \colon X \to Z$ be any elementary extremal contraction. By Cutkosky's classification (see Lemmas 2.5 and 2.8), X contains rational curves of anticanonical degree 1 or 2, except if ϕ is a del Pezzo fibration with general fiber $\mathbb{P}_2$, or Z is a point. The latter case can be excluded because X is not Fano, hence $\rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = \rho(X) = 2$.

Let $\psi_t \colon \mathcal{X}_t \to Y_t$ be an anticanonical model of $\mathcal{X}_t$. Then $\rho(Y_t) = 1$, $r_{Y_t} = 1$ and $\iota_{Y_t} \geq 3$. If ψ_t is small, then Y_t is terminal and admits a smoothing $\mathcal{Y}_{t,s}$, which (again by Theorem 2.1) has pseudo-index at least 3 and index 1. As above, $\mathcal{Y}_{t,s}$ must be either $\mathbb{P}_3$ or a quadric, and we get a contradiction. If ψ_t is divisorial, then from [JPR05, Table A.2] we see that Y_t is a quadric, which is again impossible.

Now let X be an almost Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities and $\iota_X = 3$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, there exists a crepant birational morphism $f \colon X' \to X$ where X' is an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities, and $\iota_{X'} = \iota_X = 3$. Then $r_{X'} = 3$, and an anticanonical model of X' is a quadric by Shin's Theorem 3.1. Therefore X' is either a quadric, or $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(2))$, or $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(1)^{\oplus 2})$. Hence either $X = X'$, or X is a quadric. □

Finally, we give some properties of almost Fano threefolds with $r_X \neq \iota_X$.

3.4. Corollary. *Let X be an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $r_X \neq \iota_X$. Then $\rho(X) = 2$, $r_X = 1$, $\iota_X = 2$, and X is smooth if and only if $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$.*

Proof. First note that $X = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ has Fano–index $r_X = 1$, but pseudo-index $\iota_X = 2$: the anticanonical divisor is of bidegree $(2, 3)$, hence not divisible in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, but X contains no curves of degree 1.

Let conversely X be an almost Fano threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $r_X \neq \iota_X$. Then Proposition 3.3 implies that $\iota_X = 2$ and $r_X = 1$.

By [M01] there exists a smoothing $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ of X, and by Theorem 2.1 the general fiber $\mathcal{X}_t$ is a smooth almost Fano threefold with $r_{\mathcal{X}_t} = 1$, $\iota_{\mathcal{X}_t} \geq 2$ and $\rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = \rho(X)$. Again we must have $\iota_{\mathcal{X}_t} = 2$.

It remains to show $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ for smooth X: indeed, then $2 = \rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = \rho(X)$ completes the proof. So assume X smooth with $\iota_X = 2$ and $r_X = 1$. Consider the chain (2.11) for X. We want to see that

$$r_{X_m} = 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Indeed $r_{X_0} = r_X = 1$, so if $m = 0$ we are done. Assume that $m > 0$, let $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ and let $E_i \subset X_i$ be the exceptional divisor of $\phi_i \colon X_i \to X_{i+1}$. Since $\mathrm{Pic}(X_i) \simeq \phi_i^* \mathrm{Pic}(X_{i+1}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}E_i$ and $-K_{X_i} = \phi_i^*(-K_{X_{i+1}}) - 2E_i$, we have $r_{X_i} = \gcd(2, r_{X_{i+1}})$. On the other hand, any line in E_i has anticanonical degree 2, so $\iota_{X_i} = 2$.

Therefore for $i < m-1$, $r_{X_i} = 1$ implies that $r_{X_{i+1}}$ is odd and at most 2, i.e. $r_{X_{i+1}} = 1$. Thus we get that $r_{X_{m-1}} = 1$ and r_{X_m} is odd. It is then enough to show that $r_{X_m} \neq 3$.

If $r_{X_m} = 3$, then by Proposition 3.3 X_m is either a quadric or a resolution of a quadric. In any case, through every point of X_m there is a smooth curve of anticanonical degree 3, which implies that X_{m-1} should have pseudo-index 1, a contradiction. This completes the proof of (3.5).

If X_m is Fano, then $1 = r_{X_m} < \iota_{X_m}$ implies $X_m \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ by a result of Shokurov ([S80], under the additional assumption that $-K_{X_m}$ is very ample. But the remaining cases can easily by solved by classification; compare [IP99], Theorem 2.4.5 and Theorem 2.1.16 for the respective lists). Since the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ in a point has pseudo-index 1, it must be $m = 0$ and $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$.

We may hence assume that X_m is not Fano, and show that this gives a contradiction. Consider the extremal contraction $\phi \colon X_m \to W$. Observe that $\rho(W) \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\rho(W) = 2$ only if $W = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ or $W = \mathbb{F}_2$. We show that in this two cases, the index of X can not be one.

Assume that $W = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$ and write $X_m = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for some rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on W. Twisting by a line bundle we may assume that

$$\mathcal{E}|_{l_1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}|_{l_2} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(b) \quad \text{for some } a, b \geq 0,$$

where $l_1, l_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ are the two rulings of $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$. Then $\det \mathcal{E}$ is divisor of bidegree (b, a). Let C_i be the section in X over l_i corresponding to the projection

$$\mathcal{E}|_{l_i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $-K_X.C_1 = 2 - a$ and $-K_X.C_2 = 2 - b$, hence $a, b \in \{0, 2\}$ are both even (note that there exists no rational curve C in X, such that $-K_X.C = 1$, and that $-K_X$ is nef). If $\mathcal{O}(1)$ denotes the tautological line bundle on X, we have $-K_X = \mathcal{O}(2) \otimes \phi^* \mathcal{O}(2 - b, 2 - a)$ is divisible by 2, contradicting (3.5). If $W = \mathbb{F}_2$ take a fiber of the ruled surface $\mathbb{F}_2$ and the minimal section instead of the rulings. Then the same argument applies.

Hence we have $\rho(W) = 1$ and $\rho(X_m) = 2$. Let $\psi \colon X_m \to Y_m$ be an anticanonical model. Since $\rho(X_m) = 2$ and ψ is non–trivial, we have $\rho(Y_m) = 1$. If ψ is small, then Y_m is terminal, Fano, with $\iota_{Y_m} > r_{Y_m}$. Then a smoothing $\mathcal{Y}_{m,t}$ of Y_m exists and is Fano with $\rho(\mathcal{Y}_{m,t}) = 1$ and $\iota_{\mathcal{Y}_{m,t}} \geq \iota_{Y_m} > r_{Y_m} = r_{Y_{m,t}}$. This contradicts [S80]. Hence ψ is divisorial.

We are left with the following possibilities:

1.) $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ and the general fiber of ϕ is $\mathbb{P}_2$: then from [JPR05, Table A.2] we see that Y_m is a quadric hence $r_{X_m} = 3$, impossible;
2.) $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ and the general fiber of ϕ is $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$: then by [JPR05, Table A.2] there are four possibilities for X_m (N. 9, 12, 14, 15). For cases 9, 14, 15 the (2) in the column corresponding to X' in the table indicates that ψ may be defined by $|-\frac{1}{2}K_{X_m}|$, hence r_{X_m} is divisible by two. For case 12 note that the anticanonical model is the cone over the Verones surface, which has index two. So this is impossible.
3.) $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$: then by [JPR05, Table A.3] we see that there are four possibilities for X_m (N. 1, 2, 3, 4). As in the last case, in all of these cases ψ is defined by the half anticanonical system, which is impossible.

□

4. FANO THREEFOLDS WITH CANONICAL SINGULARITIES

Let Y be a Gorenstein Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities and pseudo-index $\iota_Y > 1$. Then there exists a partial crepant resolution of singularities

$$\psi \colon X \longrightarrow Y,$$

such that X is a Gorenstein threefold with at most terminal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities and $K_X = \psi^* K_Y$ (cf. [KM98], Theorems 6.23 and 6.25). Hence X is almost Fano and

$$\iota_X = \iota_Y > 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1, Fano case. Let Y be a Gorenstein Fano threefold with at most canonical singularities with $\iota_Y > 1$, and let $X \to Y$ be a partial crepant resolution as described above. Applying Proposition 2.10 to X we get a chain

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_0 \arrow[r, "\phi_0"] & X_1 \arrow[r, "\phi_1"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\phi_{m-1}"] & X_m \arrow[r, "\phi"] & W \end{tikzcd} \tag{4.1}$$

where X_0 is another partial crepant resolution of Y, each ϕ_i is a blowup of a smooth point $p_{i+1} \in X_{i+1}$, ϕ is an elementary extremal contraction of fiber type, and $\rho(X_m) = \rho(W) + 1 \leq 3$.

For any $i = 0, \ldots, m$ denote by

$$\psi_i \colon X_i \longrightarrow Y_i$$

an anticanonical model of X_i (in particular $Y_0 = Y$).

Now fix $i \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ and consider $\phi_i \colon X_i \to X_{i+1}$. Since $-K_{X_i}$ is nef, p_{i+1} is not contained in the exceptional locus of ψ_{i+1}, so $\psi_{i+1}(p_{i+1})$ is a smooth point of Y_{i+1}. Denote the image point of p_{i+1} in Y_{i+1} by p_{i+1} as well and let $\hat{X}_i = \mathrm{Bl}_{p_{i+1}}(Y_{i+1})$.

A simple computation shows that we have an induced map $\hat{\psi}_i \colon X_i \to \hat{X}_i$, which is crepant. We arrive at the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_i \arrow[rr, "\phi_i = \mathrm{Bl}_{p_{i+1}}"] \arrow[dd, "\psi_i"'] \arrow[dr, "\hat{\psi}_i"] & & X_{i+1} \arrow[dd, "\psi_{i+1}"] \\
& \hat{X}_i \arrow[dl, "\sigma_i"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Bl}_{p_{i+1}}"'] & \\
Y_i & & Y_{i+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Notice that $\rho(Y_i) \le \rho(\hat{X}_i) = \rho(Y_{i+1}) + 1$, so either $\rho(Y_i) \le \rho(Y_{i+1})$, or $\rho(Y_i) = \rho(Y_{i+1}) + 1$ and σ_i is an isomorphism.

Observe also that σ_i is an isomorphism if and only if for every curve $C \subset X_i$ of anticanonical degree zero, the image $\phi_i(C)$ still has anticanonical degree zero in X_{i+1}.

Repeating the construction above for all $i \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$, in the end we get a zigzag chain of crepant maps and blowups:

(4.2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \hat{X}_0 \arrow[dl, "\sigma_0"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Bl}"] & & \hat{X}_1 \arrow[dl, "\sigma_1"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Bl}"] & & \cdots & & \hat{X}_{m-1} \arrow[dl, "\sigma_{m-1}"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Bl}"] & \\
Y = Y_0 & & Y_1 & & {} & \cdots & {} & & Y_m
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Y_m is an anticanonical model of X_m, so $\rho(Y_m) \le \rho(X_m) \le 3$.

4.3. Lemma. *Let X_0 be as in (4.1). Assume that $m \ge 1$, and that $X_1 \not\simeq \mathbb{P}_3$ if $m = 1$. Then there exists a curve $C \subset X_0$ such that $-K_{X_0}.C = 0$ and $-K_{X_1}.\phi_0(C) > 0$.*

Using this Lemma, we complete the proof. Indeed, if $m = 0$, then $\rho(Y) \le \rho(X_0) \le 3$. If $m > 0$, applying Lemma 4.3 to $X_0, \ldots, X_{m-1}$ in (4.1), we see that:

1.) for every $i < m-1$, σ_i is not an isomorphism, so $\rho(Y_i) \le \rho(Y_{i+1})$;

2.) for $i = m-1$, either σ_{m-1} is not an isomorphism and $\rho(Y_{m-1}) \le \rho(Y_m) \le 3$, or $Y_m = X_m \simeq \mathbb{P}_3$ and $Y_{m-1} = X_{m-1} \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{p_m}(\mathbb{P}_3)$, so $\rho(Y_{m-1}) = 2$.

This shows

$$\rho(Y) \le \rho(Y_{m-1}) \le 3.$$

Assume now that $\rho(Y) = 3$. Then $\rho(Y_{m-1}) \ge 3$, so by 2.) above we get

$$3 \le \rho(Y_{m-1}) \le \rho(Y_m) \le \rho(X_m) = \rho(W) - 1 \le 3.$$

We must have everywhere equality, i.e., $\rho(W) = 2$ and $\rho(Y_m) = \rho(X_m) = 3$. This implies that Y_m is a Fano $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle over a smooth surface, hence it is smooth with $\iota_{Y_m} = \iota_{X_m} > 1$, and we get $Y_m = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$.

Suppose that $m > 0$. Then $X_{m-1} = \mathrm{Bl}_{p_m}(\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1)$, and its anticanonical model Y_{m-1} has Picard number one. This contradicts $\rho(Y_{m-1}) = 3$, so $m = 0$ and $Y = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We keep the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Suppose that there is $j \ge 1$ such that p_1 lies on the strict transform $\hat{E}_1 \subset X_1$ of the exceptional divisor $E \subset X_j$ of ϕ_j.

For every $i = 1, \dots, j$ denote by $\hat{E}_i$ the strict transform of E in X_i. We claim that $p_i \notin \hat{E}_i$ for every $i = 2, \dots, j$, so that $\hat{E}_1 \simeq E \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$. Indeed by the adjunction formula, E is covered by rational curves of anticanonical degree 2. If we blow up a further point $p_i \in \hat{E}_i$, then $\hat{E}_{i-1} \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$, and the fibers of $\mathbb{F}_1$ are now anticanonically trivial in X_{i-1}. So if we blow up any other point on $\hat{E}_{i-1}$, the anticanonical bundle will not be nef any more. This implies $i = 1$.

Then any line in $\hat{E}_1$ through p_1 has anticanonical degree two in X_1, while its proper transform in X_0 is anticanonically trivial.

Suppose now that p_1 does not lie on the strict transform of the exceptional divisor of any ϕ_j.

We claim that it is enough to prove the following: *for any point $q \in X_m$ there exists a curve $C \subset X_m$ containing q and such that $-K_{X_m}.C \leq 3$.* In fact, choose such a curve C through the image of p_1 in X_m, and let $F \subset X_0$ be the exceptional divisor of ϕ_0. Let $\hat{C}_1$ and $\hat{C}_0$ be the strict transforms of C in X_1 and X_0 respectively. Then

$$-K_{X_0}.\hat{C}_0 = -K_{X_1}.\hat{C}_1 - 2F.\hat{C}_0 \leq -K_{X_1}.\hat{C}_1 - 2 \leq -K_{X_m}.C - 2 \leq 1,$$

so $\hat{C}_0$ is anticanonically trivial while $-K_{X_1}.\hat{C}_1 \geq 2$.

Consider now the contraction $\phi \colon X_m \to W$.

1.) Assume that $\dim W = 0$, i.e. X_m is a Fano threefold with $\rho(X_m) = 1$.

If $\iota_{X_m} \geq 4$, then by Proposition 3.3 we have $X_m \simeq \mathbb{P}_3$, so by hypothesis $m \geq 2$. Observe that $X_{m-1} \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{p_m}(\mathbb{P}_3)$ is covered by curves of anticanonical degree two, so we are done (just replace X_m by X_{m-1}, this is possible because $m \geq 2$).

If $\iota_{X_m} = 3$, Proposition 3.3 says that X_m is a quadric; in particular it is covered by curves of anticanonical degree 3.

Assume that $\iota_{X_m} = 2$. We show that through any point of X_m there is a curve of anticanonical degree two. Since $\rho(X_m) = 1$, we have $r_{X_m} = \iota_{X_m}$ by Lemma 3.4.

Let $\pi \colon \mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ be the smoothing of X_m. Then the general fiber $\mathcal{X}_t$ is a smooth Fano threefold with $\rho(\mathcal{X})t) = 1$ and $r_{\mathcal{X}_t} = \iota_{\mathcal{X}_t} = 2$ (see Theorem 2.1). Let $x \in X_m$ be any point and $x_t \in \mathcal{X}_t$, $t \neq 0$ be points with limit x. There exist rational curves $\mathcal{C}_t$ in $\mathcal{X}_t$ containing x_t, such that $-K_{\mathcal{X}_t}.\mathcal{C}_t = r_{\mathcal{X}_t} = r_{X_m}$ is constant. Let $\mathcal{C}_0$ be the limit curve. Then $-K_{X_m}.\mathcal{C}_0 = r_{X_m} = \iota_{X_m}$ and $\mathcal{C}_0$ contains x. If $\mathcal{C}_0$ is not irreducible, then $-K_{X_m}.\mathcal{C}_{0,i} < \iota_{X_m}$ for some component, which is impossible. Hence $\mathcal{C}_0$ is an irreducible rational curve.

2.) Assume that $\dim W = 1$, i.e., $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ and $X_m \to W$ is a del Pezzo fibration with general fiber F. Since $-K_{X_m}|_F = -K_F$, we have $\iota_F > 1$, hence $F \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$ or $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1$. In both cases X_m is covered by rational curves contained in the fibers of ϕ, having anticanonical degree at most three.

3.) Finally, when $\dim W = 2$, X_m is a $\mathbb{P}_1$–bundle and it is again covered by rational curves of anticanonical degree two. This finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The statement is trivial for $\iota_Y = 1$, it follows from Theorem 1.1 for $\iota_Y = 2$, and from Proposition 3.3 for $\iota_Y \geq 3$. □

5. The toric case

In order to prove Proposition 1.4, we first need the following bound.

5.1. Lemma. *Let X be a smooth toric almost Fano threefold of index $r_X = 2$. If the anticanonical model of X is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, then $(-K_X)^3 \geq 24$.*

Proof. There exists $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ such that $-K_X = 2L$. Recall that on a smooth toric variety, every nef line bundle is globally generated (see for instance [W02], Lemma on p. 261). Hence L is globally generated and big, and it defines a map $\varphi_L \colon X \to \mathbb{P}_N$, where $N := h^0(L) - 1$. Since φ_L contracts all anticanonically trivial curves, it factors through the anticanonical model Y of X:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[rr, "\varphi_L"] \arrow[dr, "\psi"'] & & \mathbb{P}_N \\
& Y \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Notice that $-K_Y$ is the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_N}(2)$, so $r_Y = 2$.

Set $Z := \varphi_L(X) \subset \mathbb{P}_N$, and observe that $L^3 = (\deg Z)(\deg \varphi_L)$.

Since $(-K_X)^3 = 8L^3$, we have to show that $L^3 \geq 3$. By contradiction, if $L^3 < 3$, we get the following possibilities:

1.) $L^3 = 1$, $Y \simeq Z = \mathbb{P}_3$: this is impossible because Y has index two;
2.) $L^3 = 2$, $Y \simeq Z$ a quadric in $\mathbb{P}_4$: again, this is impossible because Y has index two;
3.) $L^3 = 2$, $Z = \mathbb{P}_3$, $Y \to \mathbb{P}_3$ an equivariant finite map. This means that the fan of Y is the same as the fan of $\mathbb{P}_3$, with respect to a sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^3$. Hence every cone of the fan is simplicial and Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, a contradiction.

□

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Recall that an almost Fano toric threefold always admits a crepant toric resolution $X' \to X$ (see [N05], Proposition 1.15). Hence X' is a smooth almost Fano with $\rho(X') \geq \rho(X)$, and it is enough to prove the statement in the smooth case.

So assume that X is smooth. Applying Proposition 2.10, we get a diagram as (2.11), so that

$$\rho(X) = \rho(X_0) = \rho(X_m) + m, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < (-K_X)^3 = (-K_{X_m})^3 - 8m.$$

Recall that X_0 is obtained from X_m by m blowups. Since X is smooth and toric, the same holds for all varieties in (2.11), and the maps are equivariant. In particular, the center of each blowup must be a fixed point for the torus action. Recall also that any elementary contraction of fiber type between smooth toric varieties is a projective bundle.

If $W = \mathbb{P}_1$, then X_m is a $\mathbb{P}_2$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}_1$. Through any point of X_m there is a curve of anticanonical degree 3, so any blowup of X_m will contain a curve of anticanonical degree 1. This implies $m = 0$ and $\rho(X) = 2$.

Suppose that $\dim W \neq 1$. If $m = 0, 1$ we have $\rho(X) = \rho(X_m) + m \leq 4$, so we can assume that $m \geq 2$. Then Proposition 2.10 yields that W is either a point or $\mathbb{P}_2$.

This implies that X_m is either $\mathbb{P}_3$ or $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3))$. Indeed $X_m \simeq \mathbb{P}_3$ when W is a point. If $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$, then X_m is a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}_2$. Excluding $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$, which has been considered above, and $\mathrm{Bl}_p\mathbb{P}_3$, the only possibility is $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3))$.

In both cases, $-K_{X_m}$ is divisible by two in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_m)$. This implies that $-K_{X_0}$ is divisible by two in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_0)$, hence $2|r_{X_0}$. On the other hand, $\rho(X_0) > 1$, so $r_{X_0} = 2$.

Observe that X_{m-2} contains at least one curve $C \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$ with normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$, such that C is not contained in a surface covered by anticanonically trivial curves (such surface should be isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_1$). Hence the the same holds for X_0, and this implies that the anticanonical model of X_0 is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

Now Lemma 5.1 yields $(-K_X)^3 = (-K_{X_0})^3 \geq 24$, hence

$$m \leq \frac{1}{8}(-K_{X_m})^3 - 3.$$

Therefore if W is a point and $X_m \simeq \mathbb{P}_3$, we have $m \leq 5$ and $\rho(X) \leq 6$. If $W \simeq \mathbb{P}_2$ and $X_m = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3))$, we have $(-K_{X_m})^3 = 72$, so $m \leq 6$ and $\rho(X) \leq 8$.

Finally, one can check directly that there are choices of 6 blowups of fixed points on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3))$ such that the resulting variety is an almost Fano threefold with Picard number 8. $\square$

REFERENCES

[ACO04] M. Andreatta, E. Chierici, G. Occhetta: Generalized Mukai conjecture for special Fano varieties. Cent. Eur. J. Math. **2**, 272–293 (2004).

[B94] V. Batyrev: Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties. J. Algebraic Geom. **3**, 493–535 (1994).

[BCDD03] L. Bonavero, C. Casagrande, O. Debarre, S. Druel: Sur une conjecture de Mukai. Comment. Math. Helv. **78**, 601-626 (2003).

[B01] A. Borisov: Boundedness of Fano threefolds with log-terminal singularities of given index. J. Math. Sci., Tokyo **8**, 329–342 (2001).

[C06] C. Casagrande: The number of vertices of a Fano polytope. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56**, 149-158 (2006).

[CT05] Y.-C. Chen, H.-H. Tseng: Note on characterizations of projective space. math.AG/0509649 (2005).

[C88] S. Cutkosky: Elementary Contractions of Gorenstein Threefolds. Math. Ann. **280**, 521-525 (1988).

[DPS93] J.-P. Demailly, Th. Peternell, M. Schneider: Kähler manifolds with numerically effective Ricci class. Comp. Math. **89**, 217-240 (1993).

[IP99] V.A. Iskovskikh, Yu.G. Prokhorov: Algebraic Geometry V: Fano varieties. Springer 1999.

[JPR05] P. Jahnke, T. Peternell, I. Radloff: Threefolds with big and nef anticanonical bundles I. Math. Ann. **333**, 569-631 (2005).

[JR06] P. Jahnke, I. Radloff: Terminal Fano threefolds and their smoothings. math.AG/0601769 (2006).

[K88] Y. Kawamata: Crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degeneration of surfaces. Ann. Math. **127**, 93-163 (1988).

[K96] J. Kollár: Rational curves on algebraic varieties. Springer 1996.

[KMM92] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, S. Mori: Rationally connected varieties. J. Alg. Geom. **1**, 429-448 (1992).

[KMMT00] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, S. Mori, H. Takagi: Boundedness of canonical $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-folds. Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A **76**, 73-77 (2000).

[KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori: Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties. Camb. Univ. Press 1998.

[KS04] M. Kreuzer, H. Skarke: PALP: A Package for Analyzing Lattice Polytopes with applications to toric geometry. Comput. Phys. Comm. **157**, 87–106 (2004).

[MK02] J. McKernan: Boundedness of log terminal Fano pairs of bounded index. math.AG/0205214 (2002).

[M01] T. Minagawa: Deformations of weak Fano 3-folds with only terminal singularities. Osaka J. Math. **38**, 533-540 (2001).

[M04] Y. Miyaoka: Numerical characterisations of hyperquadrics. Collino, Alberto (ed.) et al., Proceedings of the Fano conference (Torino, 2002), 559-562 (2004).

[M82] S. Mori: Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective. Ann. Math. **116**, 133-176 (1982).

[M88] S. Mukai: Problems on characterization of the complex projective space. In: Birational geometry of algebraic varieties. Open problems, Proceedings of the 23rd Symposium of the Taniguchi Foundation at Katata, 1988.

[N97] Y. Namikawa: Smoothing Fano 3-folds. J. Alg. Geom. **6**, 307-324 (1997).

[N05] B. Nill: Gorenstein toric Fano varieties. Manuscripta Math. **116**, 183–210 (2005).

[P05] Yu.G. Prokhorov: The degree of Fano threefolds with canonical Gorenstein singularities. Mat. Sb **196**, 81-122 (2005), in Russian. English translation: Sb. Math. **196**, 77-114 (2005).

[R83] M. Reid: Projective morphisms according to Kawamata. Unpublished manuscript (1983).

[S80] V.V. Shokurov: The existence of a straight line on Fano 3-folds. Math. USSR Izv. **15**, 173-209 (1980).

[S89] K.-H. Shin: 3-Dimensional Fano varieties with canonical singularities. Tokyo J. Math. **12**, 375-385 (1989).

[W90] J. Wiśniewski: On a conjecture of Mukai. Manus. Math. **68**, 135-141 (1990).

[W02] J. Wiśniewski: Toric Mori theory and Fano manifolds. In *Geometry of Toric Varieties*, vol. 6 of *Séminaires et Congrés*, 249–272. Société Mathématique de France 2002.

[Z04] Q. Zhang: Rational connectedness of log Q-Fano varieties. math.AG/0408301 (2004).

C. Casagrande - Università di Pisa - Dipartimento di Matematica - Largo B. Pontecorvo, 5 - I-56127 Pisa, Italy
E-mail address: `casagrande@dm.unipi.it`

P. Jahnke - Mathematisches Institut - Universität Bayreuth - D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany
E-mail address: `priska.jahnke@uni-bayreuth.de`

I. Radloff - Mathematisches Institut - Universität Bayreuth - D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany
E-mail address: `ivo.radloff@uni-bayreuth.de`